

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,237	12/19/2001		Andrew Paul Chapple	C7592(V)	7388
201	7590	03/15/2004		EXAMINER	
UNILEVER			KUMAR, PREETI		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020				1751	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/025,237	CHAPPLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Preeti Kumar	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		`					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/025,237

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Final Rejection

#### Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1-16 are pending. Claim 1 is amended in the amendment dated Dec. 11, 2003.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kvietok et al. (US 5,445,747) is withdrawn upon Applicant's amendment to the instant claim 1 and further review and consideration of the prior art.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed December 5, 2003 have been fully considered but are rendered moot in light of the new grounds of rejection below.

### New Grounds of Rejection

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,237

Art Unit: 1751

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hauwermeiren et al. (WO 98/06811).

Regarding claims 1-4, 7-10, and 13, Hauwermeiren et al. teach a granular and powder detergent and cleaning product comprising one or more antibodies granulated with salts e.g. sodium sulfate and calcium sulfate. Please see page 7, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph and example 7 formulation IV where Hauwermeiren et al. illustrate a detergent formulation comprising dry additives including antibody, enzymes, bleaching agent and a balance of sodium sulfate which encompasses greater than 80% of the formulation consists of an alkali metal salt. See page 57-58, example 7, formulation IV.

Regarding claims 11-12, 15-16, Hauwermeiren et al. that the cleaning process is carried out at 5 degree C to 95 degree C. The pH of the treatment is preferable from 7 to 11. See page 47, paragraph 6.

Regarding the claimed chemical equilibrium constants, the prior art,

Hauwermeiren et al., are silent as to the chemical equilibrium constants for its antigen
and do not explicitly teach the limitations of Kd as recited by the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,237

Art Unit: 1751

However, it is reasonable to presume that said limitations are inherent to the invention because the presumption is supported by the use of similar components (i.e. antibodies) and in the similar treatment steps (i.e. granulated with alkali metal salts) to produce a detergent composition. The burden is upon the applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594.

Accordingly, the broad teachings of Hauwermeiren et al. appear to anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,237

Art Unit: 1751

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

margaret Einsman

MARGARET EINSMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100